INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 199 INVER GROVE HEIGHTS, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2013

Special Purpose Audit Reports Year Ended June 30, 2013

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1–2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4–5
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133	6–7
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9–11
Independent Auditor's Report on Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	12–13
Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	14–15



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 199 Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2013, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

Audit standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards required by OMB Circular A-133 is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2013

	Federal				
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA No.	Federal Expenditures			
U.S. Department of Education					
Direct					
Indian Education – Grants to Local Education Agencies	84.060		\$	12,519	
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education					
Special education cluster					
Special Education – Grants to States	84.027	810,914			
Special Education – Preschool Grants	84.173	28,598			
Total special education cluster				839,512	
English Language Acquisition Grants	84.365			32,693	
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	84.367			191,647	
Special Education Grants for Infants and Families	84.181			22,872	
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010			416,410	
Passed through Intermediate School District No. 917					
Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	84.048			7,515	
U.S. Department of Agriculture					
Direct					
Farm to School Grant program	10.575			3,000	
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education					
Child nutrition cluster					
School Breakfast Program	10.553	168,506			
National School Lunch Program	10.555	734,085			
Special Milk Program for Children	10.556	53			
Total child nutrition cluster				902,644	
Total federal awards			\$ 2	2,428,812	

- Note 1: This Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the District's financial statements.
- Note 2: Non-monetary assistance of \$64,721 is reported in this schedule at the fair market value of commodities received and disbursed through the U.S. Department of Agriculture National School Lunch Program (CFDA No. 10.555).
- Note 3: All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 199 Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2013.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we identified one deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2013-001 to be a material weakness.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO FINDINGS

The District's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosewich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 199 Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned costs.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A- 133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosewich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota





Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 199 Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2013.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the Office of the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and uniform financial accounting and reporting standards for school districts. Our audit included all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the District's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosewich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 21, 2013

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2013

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

Financial Statements		
What type of auditor's report is issued?		X Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	X Yes	No
Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes	X None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	Yes	
Federal Awards		
Internal controls over major federal award programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	X No
Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes	X None reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs?		X Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	Yes	X No
Programs tested as major programs:		
Program or Cluster	CFDA No.	_
The U.S. Department of Education special education cluster consisting of: - Special Education - Grants to States - Special Education - Preschool Grants	84.027 84.173	
Title I Grants to Local Education Agencies	84.010	
Threshold for distinguishing type A and B programs.	\$ 300,000	_
Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee?	Yes	XNo

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

B. FINDINGS – FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

MATERIAL WEAKNESS IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

2013-001 Segregation of Duties

Criteria – Internal control over financial reporting.

Condition – Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota (the District) has limited segregation of duties in several areas, including the processing of general disbursements, payroll transactions, and journal entries.

Questioned Costs – Not applicable.

Context – This is a current year and prior year finding.

Cause – The limited segregation of duties is primarily caused by the limited size of the District's business office staff.

Effect – One important element of internal accounting controls is an adequate segregation of duties such that no individual has responsibility to execute a transaction, have physical access to the related assets, and have responsibility or authority to record the transaction. A lack of segregation of duties subjects the District to a higher risk that errors or fraud could occur and not be detected in a timely manner in the normal course of business.

Recommendation – We recommend that the District continue its efforts to segregate duties as best it can within the limits of what the District considers to be cost beneficial.

Corrective Action Plan

Actions Planned – The District adopted and implemented an Administrative Procedures Manual to formalize its internal control policies and procedures, including those addressing segregation of duties. The District will continue its efforts to segregate incompatible duties to the extent possible.

Official Responsible – Director of Business Services.

Planned Completion Date – June 30, 2014.

Disagreement With or Explanation of Finding – The District agrees with the finding.

Plan to Monitor – The District's Superintendent and Director of Business Services will work with the School Board Policy Committee to continue to improve segregation of duties at the District.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

C.	FINDINGS AND	QUESTIONED	COSTS – MAJOR	FEDERAL AV	VARD PROGR	RAMS
	AUDIT					

None.

D. FINDINGS - MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT

None.

E. SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

No audit findings were reported for the year ended June 30, 2012



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON UNIFORM FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING STANDARDS COMPLIANCE TABLE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 199 Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 199, Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2013, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements.

Audit standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards (UFARS) Compliance Table is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE), and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The UFARS Compliance Table is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the UFARS Compliance Table is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on the UFARS Compliance Table required by the MDE is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the UFARS Compliance Table and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table June 30, 2013

		Audit		UFARS		Audit – UFARS	
General Fund Total revenue		\$	39,300,514	\$	39,300,511	\$	3
Total expenditures Nonspendable		\$	39,550,908	\$	39,550,906	\$	2
460 Restricted/reserve	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	156,185	\$	156,185	\$	_
403	Staff development	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
405	Deferred maintenance	\$	1,051,025	\$	1,051,025	\$	_
406	Health and safety	\$	83,217	\$	83,217	\$	_
407	Capital projects levy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
408	Cooperative revenue	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
411	Severance pay	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
414	Operating debt	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
416	Levy reduction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
417	Taconite building maintenance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
423	Certain teacher programs	\$	_	\$		\$	_
424	Operating capital	\$	1,263,963	\$	1,263,963	\$	_
426	\$25 taconite	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
427 428	Disabled accessibility	\$ \$	_	\$ \$	_	\$ \$	_
434	Learning and development Area learning center	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
435	Contracted alternative programs	\$	_	\$		\$	_
436	State approved alternative program	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
438	Gifted and talented	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
441	Basic skills programs	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
445	Career and technical programs	\$	14,856	\$	14,856	\$	_
446	First grade preparedness	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
449	Safe schools levy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
450	Pre-kindergarten	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
451	QZAB payments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
453	Unfunded severance and retirement levy	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Restricted 464	Restricted fund balance	\$	11,286	\$	11,286	\$	_
Committed							
418	Committed for separation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
461	Committed fund balance	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
Assigned							
462	Assigned fund balance	\$	4,129,697	\$	4,129,697	\$	_
Unassigned 422	Theoriemed found belonce	\$	4.010.212	\$	4.010.212	\$	
422	Unassigned fund balance	\$	4,910,213	Ф	4,910,213	Ф	_
Food Service							
Total revenue		\$	1,811,851	\$	1,811,849	\$	2
Total expenditures		\$	1,639,183	\$	1,639,180	\$	3
Nonspendable	Nonconstable Constability	¢.	52.207	¢.	52 207	¢	
460 Restricted	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	53,387	\$	53,387	\$	_
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$		\$	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	1,152,903	\$	1,152,903	\$	_
Unassigned	restricted fund bulance	Ψ	1,152,705	Ψ	1,132,703	Ψ	
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Community Service							
Total revenue		\$	2,147,514	\$	2,147,512	\$	2
Total expenditures		\$	2,037,691	\$	2,037,689	\$	2
Nonspendable					, ,		
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Restricted/reserve							
426	\$25 taconite	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
431	Community education	\$	128,736	\$	128,736	\$	-
432	ECFE	\$	15,110	\$	15,110	\$	-
444	School readiness	\$	19,622	\$	19,622	\$	-
447	Adult basic education	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
Restricted 464	Restricted fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Unassigned		Ψ		Ψ		Ÿ	
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table (continued) June 30, 2013

			Audit		UFARS		Audit – UFARS	
Building Construct	ion							
Total revenue	1011	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Nonspendable								
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted/reserv	e							
407	Capital projects levy	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
409	Alternative facility program	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
413	Project funded by COP	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
Restricted								
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
Unassigned								
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Debt Service								
Total revenue		\$	3,654,317	\$	3,654,317	\$	-	
Total expenditures		\$	3,636,563	\$	3,636,563	\$	-	
Nonspendable								
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
Restricted/reserv								
425	Bond refundings	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
451	QZAB payments	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
Restricted	Destrict of feed by the terror	\$	0.42.220	¢	942.229	¢		
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	842,228	\$	842,228	\$	_	
Unassigned 463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$		
403	Chassigned fund balance	φ	_	Φ	_	φ	_	
Trust								
Total revenue		\$	271,259	\$	271,259	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	271,259	\$	271,259	\$	-	
422	Net position	\$	12,232	\$	12,232	\$	-	
Internal Service								
Total revenue		\$	458,325	\$	458,326	\$	(1)	
Total expenditures		\$	391,072	\$	391,072	\$	(1)	
422	Net position	\$	120,008	\$	120,008	\$	_	
.22	The position	Ψ	120,000	Ψ	120,000	Ψ		
OPEB Revocable T	rust Fund							
Total revenue		\$	1,071,832	\$	1,071,831	\$	1	
Total expenditures		\$	730,195	\$	730,195	\$	-	
422	Net position	\$	9,687,785	\$	9,687,784	\$	1	
OPEB Irrevocable	Trust Fund							
Total revenue	Tust I und	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
422	Net position	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
	•							
OPEB Debt Service	Fund							
Total revenue		\$	638,916	\$	638,916	\$	-	
Total expenditures		\$	613,773	\$	613,773	\$	-	
Nonspendable								
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted	Don'd astrondings	\$		ď		¢		
425 464	Bond refundings Restricted fund balance	\$	100,820	\$ \$	100,821	\$ \$	- (1)	
Unassigned	RESUITER TUING DATABLE	2	100,820	Ф	100,841	Φ	(1)	
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
403	Onassigned rand balance	Ą	_	φ	_	φ	_	

Note: Statutory restricted deficits, if any, are reported in unassigned fund balances in the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.